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Appendix 1
Community feedback and precinct plan changes
### 1 Introduction

#### About the project

The Port Welshpool Marine Precinct Plan (the ‘Precinct Plan’) is an initiative of South Gippsland Shire Council (‘Council’) aimed at revitalising the region and township through foreshore enhancement and marina development. Council has obtained funding from Regional Development Victoria and commissioned Water Technology, in collaboration with Meinhardt, Essential Economics, and GroupGSA to prepare the Precinct Plan.

The purpose of this project is to work through the complex challenges that have affected the Precinct for several years in order to develop a strategic framework and concept design for how the foreshore area should be enhanced, and how a marina should be developed. To do this effectively, the Precinct Plan seeks to cater for the communities of Port Welshpool and Welshpool, as well as residents of the wider Gippsland Region. It also intends to satisfy the interests of the custodian agencies that manage environmental, operational, and safety interests within the Precinct.

The project study area is depicted on the opposite page.

#### What's in the precinct plan?

The Precinct Plan contains strategies for marina development, public space enhancement, increased economic and recreation activity (on-shore and off-shore), operational activities, and long-term capital investment. Together, this array of strategies in intended to enhance the appeal and functionality for the Precinct’s regular users, tourists, visitors, and the local community.

#### Why prepare a precinct plan?

There are several reasons for preparing the Precinct Plan:

- In terms of recreation, Port Welshpool is very well-placed to cater for a regional catchment comprising the South Gippsland municipal area, as well as notable parts of the Latrobe, Baw Baw and Wellington areas. This accessibility, combined with Port Welshpool’s productive fishing grounds, proximity to Wilson’s Promontory, and access to natural assets within Corner Inlet, create potential for capturing a greater amount of tourism than at present.
- East of Western Port Bay, Port Welshpool is the only natural deep sea port, with a 5.5 metre draft. It enjoys shelter from weather due to surrounding islands and features. This makes it suitable for assisting offshore industries and accommodating boating charters.
- There are no major coastal marinas between Phillip Island and Lakes Entrance, creating a large recreational boating catchment.
- Having regard for commercial operations, the town’s port facilities are well placed to service several off-shore businesses. These include off-shore energy, livestock, cargo, and potentially commercial fishing.
- From a local perspective, the Precinct is of considerable value in terms of community identity, employment, and recreation. Although a decline in economic activity has been experienced, the Precinct holds the potential for improving the current situation.
- The present port and marina facilities are excessively close, creating safety risks due to conflicts between commercial and recreational land uses.

#### Background

The project is part of a larger regional strategy, namely the Corner Inlet Tourism Development Project, which features a marina (a key subject of the Precinct Plan), an extension of the Great Southern Rail Trail (now completed to Welshpool), renewal of Long Jetty (now underway), the dredging of Toora Channel, and redevelopment of the Agnes Falls tourist area. Collectively, these sub-projects create an economic and tourism impact that have the potential to attract increased visitors to the South Gippsland Region.

In addition to the Corner Inlet Tourism Development Project, numerous other strategies and studies have been prepared, which contain relevant information for this project. These include the following:

- Port Welshpool Marina Economic and Design Assessment, Essential Economics and Water Technology 2014
- Eastern Districts Urban Design Framework, Planisphere 2013
- Seasonal Population Impacts in Coastal Towns, South Gippsland Shire Council 2015
- Gippsland Regional Coastal Plan, Gippsland Coastal Board 2015
- Gippsland Boating Coastal Action Plan, Gippsland Coastal Board 2013
- Various Gippsland Ports Studies (to the extent these are directly relevant to the marine precinct design)
- The Victorian Coastal Strategy, the State of Victoria 2014

For brevity and simplicity of reading, the detailed implications arising from these projects are discussed within the Background Report.
**The process at a glance**

- Engagement with Council, Gippsland Ports, Welshpool Coastguard, Ferry Terminal Building Port Welshpool Working, Group Transport Safety Victoria, and the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP)
- Site visit and walk-over with project stakeholders

- Assessment of spatial and landscape characteristics
- Assessment of port features and operational issues
- Assessment of built elements

- Review of studies and legislation affecting project
- Identification of key issues, opportunities, and project parameters
- Engineering, economic, and design analysis of project options

- Preparation of Background Report
- Council presentation to confirm project directions

- Marina design
- Master planning

- Preparation of Precinct Plan for Council consideration
- Incorporation of Council feedback prior to exhibition

- Exhibition (24 Nov to 15 Dec 2017, extended to end Jan 2018)
- Community ‘drop-in’ session (4 Dec 2017)
- Community initiated meeting (11 Jan 2018)
- Circa 80 submissions received

- Review of submissions
- Meetings with Council and Gippsland Ports
- Revisions to marina location from Long Jetty to the east of the existing port

- Consultation with agencies and key stakeholders with respect to Precinct Plan changes

- Refinement and finalisation of Precinct Plan
- Formal adoption of Precinct Plan

- Construction + landscaping (non-marina related)
- Environmental approvals for marina and associated work
- Funding + partnerships
- Construction + landscaping (marina related)
2 Context

Overarching factors

Port Welshpool plays an important regional role, as it is the only natural deep sea port east of Western Port Bay, with a 5.5m draft. It is sheltered from most weather by Wilsons Promontory, Big Snake and Little Snake Islands. Further, the Port is well-known for its productive fishing grounds and has been a base for the local fishing fleet, as well as wildlife cruises. These and other key characteristics result in the study area being an attractor of regional activity from across Gippsland and beyond, especially in terms of recreational boating and fishing.

At the municipal scale, the study area represents the primary boating and fishing facility for the various townships within the Shire. Its ongoing use and enhancement are a matter of Shire wide interest, especially within the context of other work being undertaken by Council.

From a local perspective, community feedback and previous work clearly demonstrate that the Precinct is of considerable value in terms of community identity, employment, and recreation. Although a decline in economic activity has been experienced, the Precinct holds the potential to improve the current situation. At present, the town contains a general store, hotel, maritime museum, boat storage, and garage. There is potential for this range of commercial uses to be expanded, especially noting the State-level role of the Port. Additionally, local influences may arise from the Barry Beach Marine Terminal, the potential sale of Exxon assets on land and water, and the future development of the ‘Star of the South’ offshore wind energy project.

Economics

The following tourism-related factors are of relevance this project:

- There are no major coastal marinas located between Phillip Island and Lakes Entrance. Other marinas in the area are generally small, including Port Albert (a 25-minute drive from Port Welshpool).
- Demand for boating has been particularly strong in the Gippsland region where boat registrations increased by approximately 25% (representing an additional 3,360 boats) between 2003 and 2012. A similar trend has been observed for the Corner Inlet area over this period, with boat registrations increasing by 22% (representing an additional 2,100 boats). These boat registration growth rates are approximately twice that experienced across the State, highlighting the ongoing strength of the Gippsland and Corner Inlet recreational boating markets.
- Between 2011 and 2031, the forecast demand is approximately 285 new boat registrations a year for the Gippsland study region (assuming the 2011 ratio of 15 persons for every registered boat in Gippsland remains constant).
- Significant growth in recreational boating has occurred across Victoria between 2003 and 2012, with total boating registrations increasing from 146,990 to 170,450 over this period, growth of 11.6% over the period (Tibar Services, Gippsland Vessel Registration Analysis – 2012 Addendum, 2013).

Taking these factors into account, as well as marina construction costs, it is estimated that a minimum of 155 berths will be needed to create the appropriate business case over a 30-year horizon.

An options assessment was undertaken by the project team to identify whether these berths should be located to the east of the existing port, or beside Long Jetty. In brief, the seagrasses and environs to the east of the existing port, combined with the higher costs and weaker economic case, showed that that the new berths should be located beside Long Jetty.

In terms of the port’s commercial operations, the safety and function of the harbour can be improved through the removal of conflicts between users, along with better distribution of uses. This has the scope for improving the medium to long term prospects for accommodating supporting vessels for offshore industries, including both the existing industries and potential support for the new Star of the South project.

Figure 3a | Estimated Boating Catchment
Source: Adapted from Port Welshpool Marina Economic and Design Assessment 2014
Figure 3b | Primary and Secondary Marinas on the Eastern Victorian Coast

Source: Adapted from Port Welshpool Marina Economic and Design Assessment 2014
Environment

Corner Inlet, located east of Wilson’s Promontory, contains pristine environs and is one of the 64 internationally significant Ramsar wetland systems in Australia (Corner Inlet Ramsar Site: Ecological Character Description 2011). The Ramsar site includes the marine, as well as associated landward systems, which combine to form the overall ecological significance of the site.

In addition to the wetland systems, the marine environs immediately south of the study area contain seagrasses, some of which are disturbed, whereas others are in higher quality conditions.

Several pieces of legislation apply to this area, with the federal Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (the “EPBC Act”) being the principal instrument.

At a more local level the study area:

- Is set within the context of the South Gippsland Coastal Plains, which define regional landscape character and form part of the State network of coastal landscapes.
- Enjoys a strong relationship with the natural environs of Wilsons Promontory, and islands to the south of Port Welshpool.
- Has connections to the Nooramunga Marine Park to the east.
- Is book-ended by estuaries to the east and west.

Together, these factors constitute sources of inspiration and design parameters for the Precinct plan. In future, their importance will increase as these will form important inputs for detailed landscape design, as well as the environmental approval processes which will follow this Precinct Plan.
3 Site analysis

3.1 Spatial characteristics

The study area covers a 1.4km stretch from east to west, with the approximate landward area comprising some 19 hectares.

At present, the predominant impression of the study area is that of a vast space that is need of enhancement, with an inordinate cluster of activity at the port. As visitors enter the town, there is little sense of arrival, with power poles being a dominant feature and no sources of activity or amenity that are readily discernible, albeit the current Long Jetty restoration will improve this state of affairs. There is also a lack of activity until people arrive at the port area. Within the port area, there is increased activity and there are positive built form elements, but significant improvements are possible.

While these issues seem to dominate, there are also several positive elements in the landscape and built form. Additionally, there is potential to distribute land uses and enhancements strategically to create more appealing spaces.

The following observations describe the characteristics of individual spaces in the study area:

- The interface between the town entry and foreshore provides scenic glimpses of the Corner Inlet Marine Park and off-shore islands (albeit the views are interrupted by electricity poles and cabling). ‘Long Jetty’ is also located at this interface, creating an opportunity for an inviting visitor experience. However, this interface is entirely un-enhanced. Relative to other boating and marine recreation locations, this results in a lack of address and appeal to the non-local public.

- The southern end of the study area also interfaces with a bowls club and caravan park, which are not overtly visible from the town entry and have little visual relationship to the foreshore.

- The foreshore area between Lewis Street and the beach consists primarily of grass and is expansive in nature, although there are some coastal trees. This area abuts the public beach, which is bound to the east and west by coastal shrubs. The area between the vegetation is the primary beach interface/access, but has an under-developed relationship with the asset.

- Moving from west to east, just prior to arrival at the port area, the foreshore begins to cater for public needs through a playground and covered structure. However, this level of enhancement remains basic in comparison to its potential. Moving eastwards from this location, there is a grassed area adjoining Lewis Street, which appears to have no distinct characteristics, followed by an area containing a rotunda and public toilets, which is in turn followed by grassed areas until the foreshore terminates at a residential interface.

- The western section of the port is highly utilised by users who compete for space for a range of activities. There can be some 200 vehicles, including yachts and trailers, fisherman’s boats and vehicles (generally parked in a small area between the boat ramp and coastal vegetation), livestock vehicles, B-Double trucks, etcetera. The western side of the port precinct also includes points of access to GP facilities, slipway, Volunteer Coast Guard, Parks Victoria and Fisheries.

- The central section of the port contains the Gippsland Ports Depot, as well as the slipway and sheds for Parks Victoria and Fisheries functions. This area is an integral component of the port, but creates challenges for competing uses due to its central location.

- The area immediately to the east of the Gippsland Ports Depot has a more defined entrance and formalised parking that leads to the former ‘Ferry Terminal’ building, which now functions as a community space. This section is characterised by vehicular parking and community activity functions (including the ‘Sea Days’ festival).

- The south-eastern corner of the port contains an unsealed surface and is spatially undefined, likely due to the itinerant nature of the activities. This area is accessible from the formal car parking to the west and from Lewis Street to the north. Part of the area is used for net stretching, which competes for space with vehicular movements. This area has the potential for developing a more resolved relationship with other parts of the port.
3.2 Coastal processes

To underpin the marina and precinct design, detailed assessment of the local conditions has been undertaken. Among other things:

- Topographic and bathymetric datasets for Port Welshpool have been combined to provide an overall elevation map of the area.
- Environmental conditions have been assessed and the general and extreme conditions in the vicinity of Port Welshpool have been considered.
- Wind climate, wave climate, tidal currents, extreme water levels, coastal processes involving sediment transport, and water quality conditions have been considered.

Detailed consideration of these and other factors is provided in the Background Report, and design constraints and responses are considered in subsequent chapters of this Precinct Plan.
3.3 Operational characteristics

At present, port operational and functional needs compete heavily with the recreational demands within the study area. This is an unavoidable consequence of the commercial and recreational uses being located within 315 metres of coastal frontage, and there being 70 metres between the Shire’s busiest boat ramp, the Roll-on and Roll-off (‘RoRo’) berth, the Slipway Jetty, and the Coast Guard facilities. In addition, challenges are created due to the distribution of land uses, with commercial uses in the centre of the precinct and recreational uses on either side.

Recreational uses within the Precinct include boating, yachting, fishing, and kayaking, which are highly popular at summertime. Yachts visit from Tasmania, stay 3-4 days and then travel to Port Phillip Bay. These rely on food, water, fuel, overnight accommodation and other necessities that can be obtained from the town. Itinerant vessels also dock at the Port, seeking fuel and water, which are particularly important for small vessels travelling to Wilson’s Promontory. Importantly, as previously noted, recreation boating for small to medium sized boats has increased considerable within the catchment.

Commercially, livestock operations increase the amount of traffic and activity within the Port monthly (which is less than the previous frequency), cargo operations occur from weekly to monthly (depending on the season), and charter boating occurs and may increase over time. Off-shore energy holds potential for increased activity over time, and commercial fishing continues to have some requirements. These operations are currently below previous activity levels, yet potential remains for intensification. In terms of safety, the Coast Guard plays an essential function, but relies on poor standard facilities, and experiences severe difficulty in launching rescue vessels during poor or extreme weather.
4 Key issues + opportunities

The majority of the issues and opportunities within the Precinct go hand in hand and are accordingly discussed together within this section. It is intended that these issues will be resolved through the design responses that follow in the subsequent chapter.

Spatial issues + opportunities

1 - The intersection treatment of Port Welshpool/ Lewis Street and the Bowling Club Road is poorly defined and therefore displays an underwhelming traffic island.
   O: Formalise intersection treatment and improve landscaped traffic island to enhance the foreshore entry appearance.

2 - The spatial experience and attraction, west of Long Jetty and along the Bowling Club Road, lacks interest and is underutilised due to the accessibility.
   O: Encourage recreational and sightseeing tourism, with views to Corner Inlet and Wilsons Promontory. In conjunction, enhance the existing foreshore path.

3 - The vista looking south along Lewis Street is interrupted by power lines.
   O: Increase existing street trees to provide a strong landscape feature along foreshore and to reduce visual clutter created by overhead power lines.

4 - Lack of on-street parking along the south side of Lewis Street and access to beach.
   O: Provide on-street parking along the south of Lewis Street for potential tourists, fishers, kite surfers, and the like.

5 - The narrow foreshore area has no consistent landscape treatment, and features limited furniture and picnic facilities. Lewis Street has an austere character with the only obvious attraction being the port facilities and beach.
   O: Improve and supply additional outdoor furniture to provide additional leisure and encourage a place to stay. Additionally, enhance the sense of arrival and identity with a themed landscape along the entire foreshore area.

6 - Illegible connection to the existing picnic area, skate park and playground area.
   O: Improve path network from picnic area to pergola.

7 - Existing public boat ramp conflict with commercial port activities and location of Coast Guard buildings.
   O: Relocate or upgrade Coast Guard buildings and launch facilities.

8 - Informal car parking area:
   - Large open expanse of gravel and grass;
   - Unattractive and uninviting;
   - Used for net haul-out and repairs;
   - Ill-defined uses and spaces for activities;
   - Conflicting activities with associated risks (pedestrians and sightseers versus loading/unloading, net stretching and fuelling);
   - Confused access and circulation.
   O: Landscape selected portions as potential public open space areas, and other areas as higher amenity functional areas. Options could include defining the pier edge as working port (with area for net haul-out and other required activities), providing more public-focused spaces and uses, or a combination.

9 - Township linkages: Links to the Hotel across Lewis Street, and further towards the Maritime Museum, Rail Trail, etcetera, are lacking.
   O: Improve link between hotel, key uses, access features, and former ferry terminal/docks.

10 - The existing Ferry Terminal Jetty is old and in need of maintenance. It also limits the efficiency of port functions.
    O: Reconfigure commercial activities (re-fuelling, fishing, coast guard, etc.) to better use turning area.

11 - Boat trailer parking is informal and inefficient. Boat/trailer parking and boat launching activities conflict with commercial activities at the RoRo wharf.
    O: Improve the access definition and parking bays to enable efficient use of the area (especially boat trailer parking numbers).
Figure 6 | Spatial Issues and Opportunities
Economic issues + opportunities

I: Seasonality: Commercial activities aimed at the general public will be subject to the risks associated with higher levels of activity during the summer months, and lower levels of activity during the cooler times of the year. The impact of seasonality is greater due to the small local permanent population able to sustain business at quieter times, noting that Port Welshpool is presently not a major tourism location.

O: Regional focus: Focussing on a large catchment, with a diversity of uses is likely to assist in managing limited demand during off-peak periods.

I: Existing commercial areas: Existing commercial uses (although limited in number) are concentrated in proximity to the port.

O: Points of difference: There is an opportunity to create points of differences between spaces within the study area to address impacts on existing commercial operators. An appropriate scale of uses would involve a small café, a takeaway food/general store aimed at serving the needs of visitors (and residents), marina users and recreational boaters (e.g. bait, fishing supplies, etc).

O: Improve connectivity and wayfinding between the Precinct and existing commercial businesses to ensure negative economic impacts on existing businesses are minimised.

I: Boat storage: The existing wet berths miss the opportunity to capture regional demand, as it is difficult to expand the area due to the need for turn-around spaces and a safe harbour for marine vessels, along with heavy competition for space near the boat ramp.

O: A new/expanded marina and dry berthing facilities can be provided.

I: Under-utilised spaces: Spaces along the waterfront are not capitalised on as there are inefficient uses in areas that have significant public value.

O: Uses can be distributed more appropriately and new uses can be considered (such as Recreational Vehicle (RV) accommodation where appropriate). The maritime museum could be relocated to the waterfront, where it would enjoy an improved connection with the water.

Note, more detailed economic considerations (including economic drivers) are contained in the Background Report. For brevity, only key matters are discussed in this section.

Operational issues + opportunities

I: Conflict: There are competing commercial and recreational uses within a small space in the port area, without any buffers for operational convenience and safety

O: Better separation between recreational and commercial users through long term re-configuration of the harbour to separate recreational and commercial activities.

I: Marina site choice: Marina development within the existing port area is hampered by the requirements for a vessel turn-around area and compromise commercial functions, and marina options outside the Port area involve dredging and environmental impacts.

O: Site choice based on a balanced and objective consideration of commercial and environmental constraints.

I: Traffic management: Parking and movement are difficult and disorderly during peak periods.

O: Parking and movement areas can be refined and formalised in conjunction with the design of new facilities and features.

I: Boat ramp: Launching/retrieval facilities are at capacity during peak periods.

O: Additional or improved ramps can be provided in conjunction with marina design.

I: Berthing: There is insufficient wet and dry berthing available, and current dry berthing is far removed from the water.

O: Additional wet and dry berthing can be provided in appropriate locations.

I: Port Welshpool is designated as a Regional Boating Precinct by the Boating Coastal Action Plan due to its role, but facilities are not proportionate to the designation.

O: A suite of improvements can be made to community focussed facilities, landscaping, paths, amenities, etcetera.

I: Commercial demand: Demand associated with commercial operations (fishing, oil and gas industries, wind energy support, livestock, cargo, charter vessel tourism and fishing operations) is uncertain.

O: Freeing up of commercial spaces to improve safety may also enable adaptation in accordance with changing commercial opportunities.

O: Combining selected uses/activities to increase efficiency, while relocating conflicting uses.

I: Operational compound: Maintaining Gippsland Ports' operations within the context of surrounding recreational uses.

O: Refinement of movement patterns surrounding the compound to minimise conflicts and risks.

I: Marine rescue: Coast Guard buildings and launch facilities are sub-standard, and hinder operations during bad weather.

O: Relocation or upgrade of Coast Guard buildings and facilities.

I: Dredging: Maintenance dredging needs will increase with new marina development.

O: Noting that dredging is infrequent and periodic, spoils from the existing maintenance area and any newly dredged area could be used for beach enhancement and maintenance, as well as the creation of new spaces (where appropriate). This is, however, subject to the spoils being deemed suitable for re-use.
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5 Master plan

Design strategy

The master plan has been founded on a vision and core principles that bring together the regional strengths of the location, what the community and local users value, and characteristics that are specific to the natural and built setting of the precinct.

Strategic enhancement measures are proposed across the 19-hectares of land that comprise the Precinct, as well as on the surrounding marine environs. The master plan illustrated on the opposite page provides an overview of the strategies for the Precinct as a whole, which is comprised of 3 Areas that have distinct identifiable functions. The subsequent sections provide more detailed strategies for the 3 Areas.

The master plan has been envisioned as a long-term strategy that will be delivered over a 15-20-year time horizon, and will combine with the Corner Inlet Tourism Development project to deliver region-wide benefits. In the interim, other aspects of the Precinct Plan are envisaged to be delivered sooner, as illustrated by the short-term master plan.

Reading the master plan

To ensure the master plan and its supporting area-based plans deliver considered design solutions, a vision and design principles have been established. These have been used to test all design responses, ensuring that none of the recommendations in this precinct plan are piecemeal.

Overarching strategies have been developed for the study area as a whole, followed by detailed strategies for 3 specific areas within the overall Precinct. These detailed strategies are categorised into core strategies (such as those which establish identity), followed by supporting strategies (such as parking requirements). Finally, a short-term master plan is provided to enable Council to deliver works which improve the precinct prior to the approvals and works associated with the marina, which will take longer to implement.

Vision

The Port Welshpool Marine Precinct will be a recreational anchor for the South Gippsland and Port Welshpool communities, drawing visitors and maritime enthusiasts from across the region and beyond.

Together with the Long Jetty redevelopment, the wider Corner Inlet Tourism Development Project, and the proximity to Wilson’s Promontory, the Precinct will help create an enviable collection of tourism and recreational opportunities. These opportunities will collectively appeal to visitors and encourage them to choose Port Welshpool and Corner Inlet as a destination.

The water will be celebrated, with boating and marine access facilities catering for the increasing demand from across the region.

Equally, the Precinct will be a valuable asset for the local communities of Port Welshpool and Welshpool, contributing to identity and place qualities, as well as local economic function.

Principles

1. Celebrate the water, environment, and community spirit.
2. Diversify activities to encourage visitors to stay.
3. Transform key spaces into drawcards for locals, visitors, and maritime enthusiasts.
4. Improve spaces that already play public and commercial functions, but are presently not reaching their potential.
5. Protect spaces and features that are of ongoing value to the community and environment.

Overarching Strategies

1. Create a sense of arrival.
2. Provide a new marina and boat ramp to create key drawcards.
3. Create an attractive open space and recreational node at the Precinct entry, alongside Long Jetty.
4. Improve the relationship between the beach and the foreshore.
5. Enhance the walking experience between the eastern and western ends of the precinct.
6. Integrate and enhance native vegetation.
7. Improve the functionality of the Port by reducing landside conflicts and safety risks.
8. Improve the seaward functionality of the Port.
9. Enhance the waterfront address and visitation in the Port area.
10. Strengthen community usage of public areas.
11. Inject new activity into the Port area.
NOTE
Refer to plans for Areas 1-3 for further details.
5.1 Area 1 – the Long Jetty sub-precinct

Precinct identity

With significant public investment in restoration works, Long Jetty will serve as an anchor for informal activity within Area 1. It will celebrate history and enable the residents and visitors to access an area which has been unavailable to the public for an extensive period.

Area 1 has been planned to build on the advantages of the Long Jetty restoration by enhancing the surrounding area and creating a more appealing space to draw and retain visitors. It is also intended to act as an entry statement into Port Welshpool, strengthening the town’s identity and expressing the community’s pride in its public spaces.

The overall ‘feel’ and identity of Area 1 will be informal, serene, and reflective in nature. It will enable visitors to appreciate the jetty and the pristine natural environs and wildlife across Corner Inlet.

Specific strategies are described as follows.

Core strategies

1 - Long Jetty – Build on the advantages offered by the Long Jetty restoration by creating a node for informal recreation and the appreciation of history and nature.

2 - Town entry – Improve sense of arrival through low-height landscaping, which protects view lines into the marina precinct and towards the water.

3 - Town connections – Provide landscaping treatments which enable connections to existing town, particularly the caravan park and bowls club, which have synergies with the proposed Long Jetty precinct.

4 - Construct a viewing tower for bird watching, star gazing, and general viewing towards offshore natural assets.

5 - Plant endemic coastal shrubs to improve the natural coastal feel and biodiversity values along the foreshore.

6 - Open space and landscaping:

   6a Construct a new boardwalk for locals and visitors to be able to enjoy the enhanced coastal environs. Provide ‘pause points’ to improve the leisure experience.

   6b Ensure boardwalks link with the path parallel to Lewis Street to connect to key spaces beyond Area 1.

7 - Install informational signage for educational and tourism purposes focussed on natural assets, such as Corner Inlet, Nooramunga Marine Park, Wilson’s Promontory, Little Snake Island and Big Snake Island. Assimilate the signage into the design by embedding it into paving or boardwalks, or otherwise integrate it into design features within the Sub-Precinct.

Note: The location of the viewing tower and public realm improvements within Area 1 are notional and may be altered, as needed. Interpretive material, visitor information signage, and landscaping works are also planned to be delivered as part of the Long Jetty redevelopment project. The recommendations of this Precinct Plan can be adapted to develop an amalgamated and coherent suite of works within Area 1.

Supporting strategies

8 - Car parking – Provide on-street parking on either side of the eastern and western sections of Lewis Street, generally as illustrated. Protect the centre-line formed by Long Jetty from visual obstruction by parking. Parking spaces should be sufficiently removed from the view line, but should be sufficiently proximal to the open space areas for convenience, as illustrated. Integrate on-street parking into the broader landscape design.

9 - Consider the vacant site north of Lewis Street as an alternative dry berth location.

Refer to the Area 3 plan for the preferred location.
Figure 8 | Design Strategies for Area 1
5.2 Area 2 – the beach sub-precinct

Precinct identity
There are a number of factors which add up to create an opportunity for enhancing Area 2 as an identifiable section of the overall Precinct. As it stands today, the area contains the Port Welshpool beach. With the restored Long Jetty at the western end and the new marina at the eastern end of the precinct, it will benefit from increased activities and features in Areas 1 and 3, which effectively book-end Area 2 and provide additional activity and visual interest. This provides scope for creating a more comfortable space than presently exists. This opportunity is supported by natural factors, namely the coastal processes that cause sand to gradually move from east to west. Over a period of time, this process is likely to continue. While sand build up will be gradual, the process builds the case for depositing any excess dredge materials within Area 2, so that an enhanced beach can be established and maintained into the future.

These factors come together to create an opportunity for establishing an identifiable Beach Sub-Precinct. Gippsland residents within the catchment who want to access a beach will have an attractive new opportunity to do so, and visitors to the Long Jetty and Marina/Port Sub-Precincts will be able to access an additional recreational area that encourages them to stay longer.

Area 2 will also play an essential connectivity function by providing landscaped paths and street furniture to create a more pleasant experience to encourage and support walking and cycling between Sub-Precincts.

Core strategies
1 - The beach and amenities – Enhance the beach to capitalise on the more comfortable environment that is created by increased levels of activity surrounding it. Create a more human-centric setting than the expanse that exists at present, and support beach usage by installing showers and in strategic locations.

2 - Water sports centre – Provide a space or covered structure for the hire and launching of kayaks, kite surfing equipment, and the like. This should be a low cost, but well-designed/placed feature that supports water sports and provides a point of interest and activity to strengthen the role of the existing play space and picnic area to the north. This area is gained through the relocation of boat parking from its current location to Area 1.

3 - Landscaping – Provide new landscaping, pathways, and improved seating facilities along Lewis Street to create an improved sense of place and support leisure activities.

4 - Natural features:
   4a Protect and enhance the coastal planting in the eastern section of Area 2.
   4b Introduce new coast shrubs in key locations to improve visual quality, habitat values, and the relationship between the foreshore and the beach.

Supporting strategies
5 - Beach protection – Evaluate the need for groynes to assist with maintaining sand that is deposited through beach nourishment and natural processes.

6 - Parking – Provide parking parallel parking along Lewis Street, integrated into landscaping measures.
Figure 9 | Design Strategies for Area 2
5.3 Area 3 – the port and marina sub-precinct

Precinct identity
As it stands today, Area 3 is a hive of activity during peak periods, with a rich mix of recreational and commercial uses that often compete for space. This mix of uses provides interest in several respects, as it locates several activities in sufficient proximity to create vibrancy during peak periods. However, this proximity also results in competition for space, conflict between operational and recreational needs, and safety risks. Accordingly, the Precinct Plan seeks to rationalise the location and mix of land uses to address the issues. It also seeks to separate a large proportion of the current recreational boat launch and marine functions from operational harbour functions.

From a community perspective, Area 3 has a strong connection to existing commercial uses in the township, is the venue for the Sea Days Festival, and is home to the Ferry Terminal Building that now serves as a much-valued community hub.

From an operational point of view, ongoing port operations are an essential part of the Sub-Precinct’s identity. The Gippsland Ports compound houses key facilities, and the surrounding land and waterside components cater for (or can cater for) fishing, off-shore energy, cargo, livestock, and tourism charters. Berths are used by fishing and recreational vessels and there is also a slipway which is capable of slipping vessels up to 75 tonnes. While some infrastructure is aged or otherwise of poor quality, the safe harbour and various supporting infrastructure components contribute significant value to Area 3.

In terms of its future, Area 3 is intended to be the cornerstone of the overall Marine Precinct, with a new marina forming a new component of its identity. At the regional level, this will draw visitors and patrons from across the Gippsland Region and beyond, tying in with the broader Corner Inlet Tourism Development Project. It will cater for boating, yachting, fishing, hospitality, children’s play, passive recreation, and the general enjoyment of the waterfront environs.

Area 3 will play 3 essential functions – it will:
A - Provide significantly enhanced spaces for the community’s day-to-day enjoyment.
B - Improve economic activity within the township by drawing a higher number of visitors and maritime enthusiasts.
C - Continue to function as the location for port and commercial operations.

The measures proposed are intended to have transformative value for the town and the regional maritime catchment. Specific strategies are described as follows.

Core strategies
1. Maritime amenity and efficiency:
   1a Provide a new marina that fulfils the objectives of the Corner Inlet Tourism Development project by improving facilities for recreational boating and maritime activity, while also functioning as a visitation anchor.
   1b Provide a new 3-4 lane boat ramp facility to synergise with the new marina, and resolve conflict/safety issues by relocating recreational boating and fishing activity away from commercial and port functions.
   1c Retain the existing boat ramp, but reduce its role to commercial and port operations, Coast Guard functions, and limited/controlled usage by potential dry berth and/or mast-up yacht storage operators.

2. Waterfront experience and address:
   2a Provide a lease area for a new café and potentially a Chandlery to improve opportunities for enjoying the waterfront experience and cater for the purchasing needs of boating and maritime enthusiasts.
   2b Improve the waterfront address by providing seating and landscape design elements along Marginal Wharf, particularly between the Ferry Terminal Building and Fisherman’s Jetty.

3. Maritime Museum – investigate and facilitate a partnership between the Maritime Museum and the Ferry Terminal Building operators. Enable the exhibition of maritime history within Area 3 to improve the connection of the museum to the water and increase activity in the area beside the Ferry Terminal Building.

4. RVs - Provide a new caravan park or recreational vehicle (RV) stop-over facility with a dump-point to actively encourage RV visitation. Co-locate the RV area with the car parking for the water sports centre, dry berths and yacht compound.

5. Landscaping – Provide new landscaping, pathways, and improved seating facilities along Lewis Street to create an improved sense of place and support leisure activities.

6. Install informational signage for educational and tourism purposes focussed on the Port’s industrial and commercial heritage, as well as key off-shore connections. Examples of signage subjects include energy industries (e.g. the Kingfish oil field and the Star of the South), livestock sources such as King Island and Flinders Island, etcetera. Assimilate the signage into the design by embedding it into paving or boardwalks, or otherwise integrate it into design features within the Sub-Precinct.

Supporting strategies
7. Ferry Terminal jetty – Remove the ferry terminal jetty when the marina is constructed to address issues of safety and adequacy of the infrastructure and berths during poor weather conditions.

8. Gippsland Ports:
   8a Remove the Finger Jetty and berths after marina construction.
   Maintain the public access restriction to the wharf abutting the Gippsland Ports compound.
   8b Consider operational partnerships / agreements for the potential dry berthing and yacht storage areas.

9. Current boat car park / future dry berthing and yacht storage area – Undertake interim upgrades / repair / sealing until the marina and associated parking areas are constructed. Decommission the current boat parking area when the construction is complete and convert the area into a dry berthing and/or mast up-storage area. Note, the preferred location for dry berthing facilities is within Area 3. Refer to Chapter 6 for details.

10. Boat launch jetty – install a new floating pontoon adjacent to the existing jetty for short and long terms safety improvements.


12. Coast Guard – upgrade Coast Guard buildings and storage, and provide improved berths for to facilitate safe and rapid launch during poor or extreme weather conditions.

13. Operational access:
   13a Consider new uses, such as maritime research facilities. Locate uses in the compound (subject to Gippsland Ports’ support) or in a publicly accessible area, depending on whether educational/tourism access will be provided.


15. Marina facilities – provide a marina office, amenities, and sewage pump-out.

16. New uses – Consider new uses, such as maritime research facilities. Locate uses in the compound (subject to Gippsland Ports’ support) or in a publicly accessible area, depending on whether educational/tourism access will be provided.

17. Relocate net stretching to the western side of the Ferry Terminal building.
NOTE
A short to medium term master plan is provided in Chapter 6 to illustrate works that may be undertaken prior to the new marina and boat ramp being constructed.

Figure 10 | Design Strategies for Area 3


6 Implementation

Overview

This chapter provides implementation guidance for elements of the Precinct Plan which are not discussed in detail in the previous chapters. While it is not intended to be an exhaustive or complete list of implementation actions, it provides essential considerations for specific strategies that need to be developed further in connection with due diligence and detailed design prior to construction.

Environmental due diligence

The proposed marina is expected to minimise the environmental impacts on nearby seagrass communities and environmental features, as the location is understood to be among the more disturbed locations along the foreshore. However, environmental approvals under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (the EPBC Act) and other legislation will be required. These approvals will involve detailed studies and investigation into the site conditions.

As one of the earliest steps in advancing the Precinct Plan, it will be necessary to establish the full scope of approval requirements, and initiate approval processes proportionate to the works being proposed at any given time. Generally, it should be assumed that a full Environmental Effect Statement process will be required due to dredging in proximity to seagrasses and Ramsar wetlands. However, it may be possible to undertake limited works without triggering this extensive process. This matter requires clarification in discussion with the relevant State departments.

Economic due diligence

The economic analysis undertaken for this project takes into account a range of factors and influences, and broadly establishes:

A - The key drivers, issues, and opportunities, which need to inform this project.

B - That the option of developing a marina to the east of the existing port area is not economically justifiable in comparison to a marina beside Long Jetty. This assessment takes into account preliminary cost estimates of marina construction elements.

C - That the benefit cost ratio of developing a marina is acceptable for continuing with this project.

However, the analysis is not comprehensive for a project of this nature, and a business case or more detailed economic impact assessment is strongly recommended prior to commencing works.

Marina construction

The marina breakwater is proposed to be constructed as a rubble mound or other such materials. It is designed to provide safe conditions for boats within the marina, minimise sediment transport into the marina area, and extend landwards until it reaches the interface of private property with the riverine / wetland system to the north.

A wave screen or breakwater is proposed parallel to Fisherman’s Jetty to prevent sediment intrusion from the west and limit wave interaction from the Port. If a breakwater is chosen as the preferred solution, this should be located 5 metres away from Fisherman’s Jetty.

The dredge materials from the marina construction are proposed to be used for the reclamation of the foreshore where carpark is to be located. If excess dredge materials remain, these should be used for beach replenishment and other public works proposed along the foreshore.

Dry berths

It is estimated that a 50-space dry berthing facility should be provided to support the marina and provide a lower cost alternative to wet berths. The following options are available:

A - Dry berthing facilities could be provided on the western side of the Gippsland Ports compound. This is the preferred location, as it is closest to the existing boat ramp, which is to be retained for port and commercial functions and will have capacity for controlled recreational functions. Construction and lease arrangements could be made with a private operator, or with Gippsland Ports, subject to the authority’s willingness and interest in a dry berthing facility. In either case, the management of the boat ramp can be transferred to Gippsland Ports. Although the ramp is presently a source of inappropriate user conflicts, these would not occur if the dry berthing facilities were to be maintained by Gippsland Ports.

As an important point to note, any dry berth facility should be well-designed and landscaped to align with the desired visual amenity for the Marine Precinct.

B - Subject to discussions with land owners, a dry berthing facility could be provided at the Seapack site, or in the rear section of the adjoining site to the east. These parcels of land contain large areas that are proximal to the proposed marina, enabling convenient access to the boat ramp. Other sections of either of these sites could be used for other commercial development, subject to an assessment of the relevant planning considerations.

Dry berths (ctd.)

C - The existing dry berth facility between Welshpool and Port Welshpool could be expanded. While this site does not enjoy the benefits of sites closer to the water, it offers an acceptable alternative, as boat operators can retrieve their vessels on route to the marina. One key disadvantage of this option is that it does not contribute monies towards marina maintenance. Another key disadvantage is that mast-up yacht storage is difficult in this location due to the distance from the boat ramp.

Yacht compound

A potential yacht mast-up compound is nominated on the master plan, beside the potential dry berth facility. Such a facility would provide a valuable recreational asset and source of income (via leasing), with little cost involved in constructing a fenced and landscaped compound. It would also be manageable, in accordance port operational needs. It should be noted, however, that power lines may limit the size of yachts (due to mast height), or power lines may need to be modified/moved.

Recreational vehicles

Caravan parks and camping facilities continue to be popular within the Gippsland Region and within Australia in general. Existing facilities are located north of Lewis Street in town, and at the western edge of the settlement. These facilities do not have a visual connection with the water, and there is an opportunity for a small-scale facility being provided within the port area, which enjoys this connection. The opportunity will arise alongside broader works in the port sub-precinct (Area 3).

There are several options for the scale of facility that can be provided and how this can be managed. The facility could be limited to a 24-hour stop with dump facilities, or could be a more formal visitor caravan park that is either managed by Council of leased to a private operator.
Coast Guard
As previously mentioned, the current Coast Guard buildings and berthing/launch facilities are sub-standard. It will be important to either upgrade these in the existing location (where recreational conflict will be reduced), or to construct new facilities within the new marina (where a high degree of shelter will be provided, but recreational uses will be co-located).

On-street car parking
The amount of parking shown on the Precinct Plan may be excessive for initial demand. It is intended that additional parking be provided in proportion to need over time.

Parking should be provided in conjunction with landscaping so as not to become a dominant feature of the street-scape. This can be achieved, for example, using landscaped kerb outstands at appropriate frequencies and in conjunction with garden beds that border open space areas.

Boat trailer parking
It is not necessary for all boat trailer parking to be sealed. An analysis of annual usage patterns may inform the number of sealed parking spaces that need to be provided, with overflow space for peak periods. The Precinct Plan proposes approximately 90 trailer parking spaces abutting the marina. An additional 55 overflow spaces have been allowed in the grassed area to the west of the formal boat trailer parking. Allowance has also been made for the northern section of the car parking area to be converted into further overflow boat trailer parking. Council will need to manage overflow area during peak season.

Western car park in Area 3
The car park abutting the proposed water sports centre is proposed to cater for vehicles accessing the centre, dry berthing, and yacht compound. It is also proposed to accommodate or adjoin the RV facility previously described.

Truck parking / queuing area (north of Ro-Ro berth)
A dedicated parking / queuing area is proposed for trucks accessing the Ro-Ro berth. The area is intended to constrain trucks to a specific location and minimise conflict with other users within the area. When livestock/cargo operations are particularly busy, operational arrangements should also be considered for trucks to park and wait outside the Marine Precinct, until the queue has been cleared within the designated parking / queuing area.

The proposed changes are intended to build on the newly constructed exclusion fence on the Ro-Ro wharf, which has been installed to restrict public access.

Truck access to Marginal Wharf
Trucks intermittently access Marginal Wharf for loading / unloading commercial fishing boats and their catches. This access is maintained as part of the marina and boat ramp design, as well as to the short to medium term solutions proposed in Figure 11.

Sea level rise
This project involves both ‘hard’ and ‘soft’ responses to the potential impacts from projected sea level rise.

In terms of hard responses, it is firstly noted that the existing port facility is an important asset, which is planned to be maintained into the future. Secondly, it is noted that the demand for recreational usage is ongoing, and there is a gap in the regional market for an appropriate scale facility that matches the growth in boating popularity. Accordingly, the scale of public investment within the study area will be driven by regional considerations, rather than local considerations.

That is, within the context of Port Welshpool’s regional function and potential, it is considered appropriate to provide facilities to cater for demand that will continue despite long term climate change impacts.

Having regard for these matters, the marina (Area 3) is proposed as a hard response and enhancements within the port area (also Area 3) build on the existing commitment to maintain the assets in this location. More specifically, the hard responses include the design of breakwater and marina components, which will take into account future sea level conditions into their design criteria. The same will be necessary for any new buildings within the area. The beach (Area 2) may also feature some hard responses, if and when works are undertaken for seawall upgrades, which can be incorporated into the design.

In terms of soft responses, the Precinct Plan notes the pattern of westward sand movement and deposition within the beach area. This pattern is expected to continue, and it is expected that the beach (Area 2) will be able to be maintained into the future. Beach replenishment may be needed in the long term due to storm surge impacts, but this is not expected to be an inordinate issue for the Precinct. These factors have been taken into account in the recommendations to build the character of Area 2 centred on the beach and the abutting walking paths between Areas 1 and 3. Additionally, new coastal landscaping is proposed in Areas 2 and 3 to provide soft responses that stabilise sand, as well as add to the amenity of the Precinct.

Sea level rise (ctd.)
Note, the above responses have relevance to the study area, and not Port Welshpool as a whole. Responses within the town will need to be determined separately by the various levels of government, and the community.

From an implementation perspective, it should be noted within further work and funding bids that the recommendations of this Precinct Plan have been designed to ensure the study area has merits in its own right, without limiting township level responses over the long term.
Staging

Staging of the physical enhancements within the precinct should be responsive to opportunity and changing demand characteristics over time. This has been a guiding factor in how the Precinct Plan has been prepared. Broadly, public works should be staged in the following manner:

- **Stage 1: The Long Jetty Sub-precinct (Area 1)**
  As an early outcome, the momentum of the Long Jetty restoration provides Council an opportunity to work with Gippsland Ports in creating a broader recreation / leisure area and a higher quality entry into town, as detailed in Chapter 5. It is logical for this area to be developed as a first stage of works within the broader Marine Precinct.

- **Stage 2a: Early Works Within the Port and Marina Sub-precinct (Area 3)**
  The port and marina sub-precinct will ultimately be a centrepiece of the wider Marine Precinct. Considerable importance is therefore placed on improving visual amenity and function within Area 2. However, time and costs associated with environmental approvals, further economic due diligence, and the construction of the marina and boat ramp themselves, make it important to distinguish between the major works and shorter-term initiatives.

Accordingly, this stage focuses on public works that can be undertaken prior to the boat ramp and marina being constructed. The following works are proposed:

- Provide pathways, landscaping, and relocated and improved play facilities in the northern section of Area 3.
- Provide the water sports centre, abutting car park, and RV facilities.
- Provide a weighing and fish cleaning facility beside the above car park.
- Formalise the road access leading to the existing boat ramp, for use by commercial and recreational users.
- Retain the boat trailer parking beside the Gippsland Ports compound until the new boat ramp and marina are constructed. Repair and seal the parking area to a reasonable standard so that it can be maintained in an acceptable condition until the long-term solution is implemented.
- Install a new floating pontoon beside the existing boat ramp to improve safety / functionality until the new ramp is constructed.
- Provide a designated truck parking and queuing area, as previously described.
- Retain and upgrade the Coast Guard facilities.
- Relocate the net stretching area to the linear area illustrated beside the Ferry Terminal building.
- Modify the existing car park to the north of the Ferry Terminal building, as illustrated.
- Retain the fueling facility until Gippsland Ports provides an alternative facility abutting its wharf (subject to the authority’s willingness to do so).
- Provide lease areas for new buildings, prioritising the proposed café/chandlery.
- Undertake waterfront enhancements (including the installation of well-placed landscaping and structures) to create a public focussed environment in front of and surrounding the Ferry Terminal building.
- Encourage a partnership between the Ferry Terminal building custodians and the Maritime Museum to undertake historical exhibitions and increase the amount of activity and maritime education in the port area.

Refer to Figure 11, illustrating the short to medium-term solutions for the Marine Precinct.

- **Stage 2b: Environmental and Economic Due Diligence for the Marina**
  This stage involves undertaking due diligence and obtaining approvals as previously described. This is notionally proposed after Stage 2a, as the approvals are likely to be obtained after Council has already implemented selected public works. However, the stage can be initiated at any time.

  Private sector partnership should be sought within this stage, with the purpose of strengthening the business case for Council, and contributing to the business case for government funding.

  Decisions regarding the location, timing, and custodianship of new dry berthing and yacht storage facilities should be made within this stage.

- **Stage 3: The Beach Sub-precinct (Area 2)**
  The works specified for Area 2 are relatively minor in nature and can be undertaken without significant expense, noting that the installation of showers is likely to be among the more expensive elements. This stage is nominated as occurring at this point due to the priority which should be given to Areas 1 and 3.

- **Stage 4: Marina and Boat Ramp Construction**
  Following on from the discussion for Stage 2a, this stage involves the physical construction of the marina and boat ramp, as well as the associated dredging. It also involves the construction of a manager’s office, toilets/laundry, the formal boat trailer parking, the overflow boat parking areas, weighing and fish cleaning facilities, and a boat sewage pump-out facility.

  With recreational boating and fishing removed from the current boat ramp, this stage opens up the possibility of providing control of the existing boat ramp to Gippsland Ports, as the need for unsupervised public access will be eliminated.

  Beach establishment/nourishment should occur in this stage (within Area 2), using any excess spoils that are not otherwise utilised for creating the marina waterfront spaces.

  New uses, such as a potential maritime research facility, are likely to become more realistic considerations at this stage (although they may be considered at any prior stage).

  Government funding should be sought by Council at this stage.

- **Stage 5: Finishing Touches**
  Ongoing landscaping enhancements should be undertaken within Areas 1 to 3. Parking should be expanded proportionate to demand.

  This stage should see the delivery of works which may have been deferred as part of prior stages, as well as the undertaking of new enhancement measures which may not have been envisaged at the time this Precinct plan was prepared.

  Note, the staging has been described in broad terms to provide Council an overview of likely timing and guidance for major strategies, while also ensuring there is flexibility in design responses.

  The staging guidance is not intended to cover the full ambit of strategies previously detailed in this report. The detailed strategies are important in their own right, and should be read concurrently with the guidance provided in this section.

  It should also be noted that the staging may need to be varied in response to funding opportunities and market interest (such as for the café/chandlery or RV park).
Figure 11 | Short to Medium Term Master Plan (Prior to Marina and Boat Ramp Construction)
Appendix 1 - Community feedback and precinct plan changes

Core matters


A community drop in session was held on 4 Dec 2017, from 3-8 pm. This generated considerable community interest and was attended by circa 80 people. While support for various components of the plan was expressed by a number of attendees, the majority of attendees expressed dissatisfaction with the plan (to varying degrees).

Thereafter, the community organised its own meeting to discuss its consternation with the Precinct Plan on 11 January 2018, and over 100 persons attended. Some persons expressed support for the Precinct Plan at the end of the meeting, but the majority remained in opposition.

Circa 80 submissions were received.

Top issues for the community (which have been expressed repeatedly in submissions and in verbal feedback during the 4 Dec 2017 and 11 Jan 2018 sessions) are:

- The marina is incorrectly located. Other options were raised, including on the eastern side of the port area, within the existing port area, on the western side of the ro-ro berth, and on the western side of Long Jetty.

- The marina precinct will block views towards Long Jetty.

- The marina will draw attention away from the town’s current commercial area.

- The maritime museum is located within a heritage building that was gifted to Council, and should stay where it is currently located. Persons associated with the maritime museum were adamant about this matter.

- Boat and car parking are insufficient.

- The boat ramp should be 3 lanes at minimum.

Note, the above list is not exhaustive, and does not contain information regarding the elements that the community was pleased with (such as parks surrounding the Long Jetty area). The items listed are the ones that generated the highest degree of angst, and elements which were received positively are generally retained in the Precinct Plan (albeit in slightly modified form to suit changes).

Water Technology and Mesh Planning met with Council and Gippsland Ports (GP) on 5 March 2018 to resolve how the Precinct Plan should be modified to address the community’s concerns. It was determined that the preferred way forward is to relocate the marina and new boat ramp to the east of the exiting port area and make modifications within the port precinct to respond to these changes. The imperatives for locating to the east have moved beyond economic considerations as a prime driver. Greater weight is now being given to satisfying community aspirations, leaving the Long Jetty revitalisation as a stand-alone project with ‘unspoilt’ views, and prioritising the existing commercial area to the east.
Supportive comments
The following comments were received during the community drop-in session and as part of submissions:

- The Precinct Plan provides a much-needed injection of activity into the town.
- Port Welshpool is a good location for a regionally significant facility and the proposal to deliver this is welcome.
- The co-location of the marina and Long Jetty is sensible, as it will put assets together and make best use of public funds.
- The separation of operational and recreational conflicts is welcome.
- Path improvements should be delivered as proposed.
- Landscaping improvements are good to see, although vegetation height should not block views.
- Parts of the Marine Precinct will become more suitable for families; this is a good outcome.
- Broadly, the proposal to undertake beatification is good.

Suggestions
- Talk about year-round tourism - cycling, eco tours, camping, kayaker parking and launching facilities etc.
- Should also focus on recreational and sightseeing tourism.
- One Airbnb operator reported that guests are mainly from Melbourne and are often not boat owners. Guests frequently rent the operator’s kayaks, bring dogs, visit Wilson’s Prom and Tarra Bulga and many other tourist spots. The operator supplies information regarding dining, accessing shops etc. Essentially, the argument submitted is that there are several week-enders who contribute to the local economy, and this group should be accommodated by creating a positive visitor experience that involves more than boating.
- Consider food vans / ‘pop up’ tourism.
- Show how kayakers will get to the beach.

Comments regarding shortcomings
In addition to the core matters previously discussed, the following broader comments were received during the drop-in session and as part of submissions:

Accommodation
- The report does not say anything about short term accommodation.

Beach
- Too much beach will be lost to the marina.
- More pollution of the existing beach will occur due to the marina.

Boat launch
- 4 ramps are desired.

Car park / traffic
- Not big enough – there are 350 launches per day.
- 100-120 spaces proposed; also need at least a 100-space overflow.
- Look at traffic management – impacts on Lewis St during peak periods.
- Bus parking bays are needed.
- Consider separating access in/out.

Dredging
- Ongoing frequent dredging will be needed.
- Don’t dredge ‘middle ground.’

Dry docking
- Don’t put dry berths next to a residential area.

Operations
- Need a turn-around area for boat launch.
- Need boat preparation and wash down parking areas.
- Need refuelling + fire facilities.
- Not enough details regarding how recreational fishing will be catered for [apparently reading the document as a detailed design, rather than a precinct plan].

Parks/facilities
- Need accessible toilets.
- Need fish cleaning facilities (locate above water to reduce offal on rocks).
- Need shade.

Outcomes
These comments have been considered in the refinement of the Precinct Plan. A number of matters have assisted in shaping the thinking of the project team and Council officers in preparing the refined Precinct Plan. However, not all elements which received unsupportive feedback have accepted at face value. The Precinct Plan is based on an objective consideration of how the Marine Precinct should be enhanced. It maintains certain features which are considered to have public benefit and balances competing needs, such as the quantum of parking relative to place making objectives.

Refer to the previous ‘core matters’ section for information regarding the key issues and changes to the Precinct Plan.
Other comments

- Economic analysis provided puts the project in the marginal category. Attracting investors without some form of ongoing government funding for maintenance, such as dredging, might prove very difficult. Need information such as Recreational Boat License Fees.

- Support Long Jetty as a standalone asset, don’t delay associated improvements due to marina.

- Sand flies – put sand in swamp breeding ground at long jetty.

- Consider an ‘off the beach’ boat launch (e.g. as per former Corner Inlet Boat Club facilities) – sailing is an opportunity. “(C)raft require significant preparation after launch before setting off sailing and are not compatible with trailed power boats being launched and retrieved on concrete ramps. The extra time to prepare the sailing craft can lead to friction and conflict if there is no other option but to share the general launching ramp. Off the beach craft can be up to 7+ metres in length but are usually quite light weight, all that is required is required is trailer/trolley access to a patch of sand that such boats can leave from and return to.”