

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Section 223 Submission](#)
Subject: Re Proposed Governance Rules
Date: Monday, 10 August 2020 3:57:54 PM

I've had a quick look at the Proposed Governance Rules and the following are matters that immediately stood out to me:-

4 Definitions -

The last definition states that *these rules* means these Governance Rules but immediately thereafter Chapter 1 commences These Governance Rules so there's no point in the abbreviated definition.

7.4 States that all nominees for Mayor will clearly indicate their acceptance of the nomination during the meeting however 7.7 says that final nominations will be called for before the commencement of the meeting so surely all nominees should have indicated acceptance before the meeting.

13.3(a)(ii) the opening word "to" should be deleted

14.2 What does ***A notice of a meeting, including an additional Council meeting as far as practicable, aims to be provided at least five days notice*** mean?

15. Requires a majority of Councillors present - surely that is clear without the example of an absolute majority being necessary.

24.5(e) I see huge problems in definition. what does unworkable mean and to whom and in what context . Better left out in my view. Let the CEO exercise his or her discretion.

(g) No need for this sub para. 24.4 states that a Notice of Motion must be in writing signed by a Councillor and a seconder. What can be more clear than that? A notice of Motion that doesn't have a

seconder can be rejected by the CEO under 24.4. to make another provision re motions without a seconder is muddying the waters.

27.3 *The motion must be seconded and the seconder must be a Councillor other than the mover.* Surely this should just read "The motion must be seconded."

The above are just a few comments on a quick read but as tomorrow is the closing date I thought I'd submit them without delay.

Clive Hope
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]